

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS

MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING SARAH LEWIS, SENIOR PLANNER

SARAH WHITE, PLANNER / PRESERVATION PLANNER ALEX MELLO, PLANNER DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT Case #: ZBA 2017-70

Date: September 27, 2017 December 13,

2017, January 31, 2018

Recommendation: See Section III

Conditional Approval

Updated PLANNING STAFF REPORT*

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

Applicant Name: Lacourt Realty, LLC

Applicant Address: 30 College Avenue, Somerville, MA 02143

Owner Name: Lacourt

Realty, LLC

Owner Address: 30 College Avenue, Somerville, MA 01243

Alderman: Lance Davis

Legal Notice:

Owner, Lacourt Realty, LLC, c/o Mouhab Rizkallah, seeks a Special Permit with Site Plan Review under §7.3 of the



SZO to increase the number of dwelling units from four to seven (including one affordable unit), §4.4.1 to extend existing non-conformities, and relief under Article 9 including special permits for parking on a separate lot and shared driveway access to parking. RB zone. Ward 4

Date of Hearing: December 13, 2017 – ZBA; January 31, 2018 – ZBA

*This staff report has been updated. Information that no longer applies has been struck through and new information is highlighted in yellow.



Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018

Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88 Site: 118-124 College Avenue

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject property presents one structure that is comprised of two formerly independent residential structures that are now connected on the ground level with an office space. The property currently contains one commercial space and four residential dwelling units. The property is an 11,326 square foot parcel located in the RB zone.

2. Proposal: The Applicant proposes adding a second and third floor addition to the rear of the single-level connector between the two former single houses that anchor the right and left street-facing sides of the parcel. A total of four roof decks facing College Avenue are also proposed. The area where the addition will be located is indicated in the photo immediately below:



The portions of the Applicant's proposal that trigger the need for Special Permits are described as follows:

Dwelling Units:

The property currently contains four dwelling units. The Applicant proposes adding three more dwelling units to the property for a total of 7 dwelling units. One of the 7 dwelling units will be affordable (an affordable rental unit for an individual or family at 50% of AMI). Somerville's Housing Office will determine which unit will be the affordable unit.

The subject property is currently non-conforming with regard to the number of dwelling units that it has. In the RB district a maximum of three dwelling units is allowed. A property owner may petition for a special permit with site plan review to increase the number of dwelling units under §7.3 of the SZO if one of those units on the property is made affordable. But, to use this provision, the property must meet FAR and lot area per dwelling unit requirements. Therefore, the completed project must meet an FAR of 1.0 and include no mover than 7 residential dwelling units. It is under this section of the SZO that the Applicant seeks to increase the number of

¹ There was some confusion about these two metrics at the neighborhood meeting. To be clear, <u>floor area ratio is the ratio of NET floor area to lot size</u>. At 1.0, the FAR calculation requires that the net floor area of the completed structure must not exceed 11,326 square feet. Net floor area does not include unfinished attics, basements or closets, but does include both residential and

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

dwelling units on the property.

Note that there is currently one commercial unit in the subject property that houses a dental office. The commercial unit will be retained under the Applicant's proposal.

Parking:

The Applicant needs relief for less than 6 parking spaces under this proposal.

In the application that was before you in December, the applicant was seeking to argue that the parking spaces that cross onto lots on Kenwood would meet the requirement for additional parking that the code would otherwise require for the three new units. This led to confusion on a number of different fronts. The parking for this address currently occurs across lots on College Avenue and Kenwood, properties that are owned by separate entities controlled by the applicant. This off-site parking situation is a pre-existing non-conformity and is, therefore, grandfathered. It operates in a way that allows for both commercial and residential users to use different spaces at different times based upon agreements and longstanding tradition.

The applicant has previously requested relief under Article 9. He is now requesting that the relief under article 9 include a waiver of parking requirements per either 9.13a or 9.13g of the SZO.

3. Green Building Practices:

The applicant has not indicated any intended green building practices.

4. Comments:

<u>Alderman:</u> Alderman Davis is aware of this project and was present at the neighborhood meeting held by the Applicant on the evening of Tuesday, September 19, 2017. Aldermen White and Rossetti were also present at this meeting.

5. A few items to note:

a. Community Questions:

Over the course of the past few months, this project has generated a lot of interest from nearby residents. This is more interest than is typically generated by a three-unit project. Some of that is because the site is so unique. Some because of concerns about perceived parking impacts (more on that below). Some are because of activities undertaken by this applicant on other lots in Somerville. The staff is addressing those issues below:

- The applicant: There has been some conversation about the work the applicant has completed on other sites in Somerville. This is not before the Board, and it cannot be. The zoning is a review of a proposed project, not the project proponent. In this case, the Board is reviewing the impacts of the three additional units here (one affordable) that would be created on this site by this special permit.
- The submitted information: There have been some questions about differences between

commercial space. <u>Lot area per dwelling unit</u> is the ratio of total lot area to total number of residential dwelling units (the definition of "dwelling unit" in zoning indicates that it is only a residential unit, not a commercial unit). This metric in the RB zoning is 1500 square feet of lot area per dwelling unit. Therefore, this lot is limited to 7 residential dwelling units, but may continue to include the non-conforming commercial use in addition to these seven units.

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

the submitted drawings and the assessor's database as it relates to the size of units. There have also been requests to 'verify' the existing and proposed bedrooms as it relates to the parking requirement. Regarding the sizes of interior space, the staff addressed this issue at the neighborhood meeting. Applicants are expected to measure the existing conditions in their buildings. These measurements are likely far more accurate then the estimated information that is in the assessor's database. It is common for there to be differences.

Regarding both of these items, applicants are required to present complete and accurate information, and they sign the application form under a statement that the information is they have submitted is accurate. The plans in this application set were prepared by an architect. If it is helpful to the Board, they could request the applicant stamp the existing and proposed plans, thereby certifying that they are accurate under the standards required of a registered architect.

b. The Parking Situation:

A member of Planning Staff and the Planning Director were both present at the neighborhood meeting held on Tuesday, September 19, 2017. Chief among the concerns among the abutters was parking. The Applicant owns and rents out numerous units in the College Avenue/Kenwood Rd./ Billingham Street area, streets that surround the subject property of this application. A group of these units are on contiguous lots owned in common by the applicant with driveways that link behind and around the structures.

Numerous abutters voiced their concerns that the Applicants' renters were negatively impacting the availability of on-street parking in this area.

Parking needs to be addressed from two separate strategies. The first is the letter of the law – the zoning ordinance and the amount of parking that it requires. Second, is the practical reality of the demands on the site. Sometimes there is a correlation between the zoning and the actual parking need. Other times, there is not (as our zoning ordinance has outdated parking requirements). Nonetheless we will review both approaches to parking, to determine how it can be addressed.

A. The Practical Parking Need:

The Planning Office obtained the parking permit data from the surrounding streets to determine the actual parking demand and on-street parking potential of existing and proposed development. The staff used current on-street parking permit data and assessor's data as of January 2017 for the table below. The parking data indicates if an individual has received a resident permit. It does not suggest that this individual uses that permit to park on the street, as many of the sites below have some off-street parking. Certainly there are also others who always park off-street, and therefore do not have any permit – but these vehicles don't contribute to on-street parking demand.

The analysis of the data appears in the table immediately below this Staff Comment section. The data establishes that the applicant's properties have the lowest ratio of on-street parking permits to residential units of any of the surrounding area.

For example, there is a residential apartment building across College Ave. that has 41 units

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

with no parking spaces. That building has 25 active resident parking permits. Furthermore, most of the nearby side streets are majority owner-occupied residences, and these tend to have more significant numbers of permits.

The significant cost of parking tickets generally dissuades residents from parking without permits for more than one or two times. Therefore, to the extent that evening and night parking on and around Kenwood Road is limited, the cause of this could be:

- Residents choosing to park on-street instead of in driveways
- Residents in multi-unit buildings with single-car width driveways, where those that are not using the driveway are required to use the street
- Residents of nearby apartment buildings with no parking (like 119 College Ave)
- Residents of the applicant's buildings (although the data suggests that they are a small portion of those who park on-street)
- Residents from elsewhere in Somerville, using this place to park to reach nearby destinations
- Visitors with visitor permits (although, except for rare special events, these permits do not typically generate a significant percentage of the vehicles on a street).

Extrapolating from this data, the addition of three residential rental units on the proposed site will likely generate one or two additional cars with residential parking permits. The applicant will also provide parking off-street for these vehicles.

<u>Table 1</u>: This table depicts the number of resident and guest parking permits assigned to both the Applicant and abutters to the Applicant's property(ies) in the 118 College Avenue area.

Parking Data for the Area Around 118 College Ave							
Block / Building	Notes	Total Units	Resident Permits	Resident Parking Ratio	Visitor Tags		
Owned by applicant:							
Even side of Kenwood St	Structures owned by applicant	12	4	0.33	9		
College Ave building	Structure proposed for 3 more units	4	3	0.75	1		
Total for items above	Total and average for structures owned by applicant	16	7	0.44	10		
Nearby Blocks							
for Comparison:							
119 College Ave (41 units)	41 unit rental apartment building	41	25	0.61	27		
Odd side of Kenwood St	5 structures (one is a 3-unit condo) - 3 buildings and 2/3 of condo owner occupied	9	8	0.89	8		
Summit Ave - All Units	15 structures - 14 are owner occupied	19	55	2.89	57		
Billingham St - All Units	11 structures - all owner occupied	15	25	1.67	21		

Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018

12

Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88 Site: 118-124 College Avenue

B. Parking Required by Zoning:

As noted above, when parking requirements are applied in zoning, sometimes they overstate need, but nonetheless the parking would be required (or a variance required). In many circumstances, current requirements are higher than typical parking demand for new projects in the City. To address zoning, the Staff completed a parking analysis under the zoning ordinance.

Three additional bedrooms will be added, when comparing the current to proposed uses on the site. Under the proposed plan, three additional bedrooms will be added to the property. This generates an additional requirement under zoning for five parking spaces. The adjacent properties on Kenwood Road have parking in their back yards that is above and beyond that which is required for these buildings (over the entire site, there is more parking than required). The applicant has proposed to designate five parking spaces at 16 Kenwood for the use of 118-124 College Ave residents.

The current and proposed bedroom count per unit is as follows:

<u>Table 2</u>: This table compares existing to proposed units, bedroom count, and parking requirements. It does not account for the parking demand from the commercial space, which does not change in size (4,870 sf) under this proposal.

Unit #	Existing Bdrs.	Current Pkg. Req.	Unit #	Proposed Bdrs.	Proposed Pkg. Req.
1	1	1.5	1	1	1.5
2	1	1.5	2	2	1.5
3	5	2.0	3	5	2.0
4	3	2.0	4	2	1.5
-	-	-	5	2	1.5
-	-	-	6	2	1.5
-	-	-	7	2	1.5
TOTAL	10	7	TOTAL	13	11 +1 guest

To calculate the number of parking spaces of relief required is as follows:

<u>Step 1</u>: New parking requirement – Old parking requirement = delta between proposed & existing requirements

$$12 - 7 = 5$$

Step 2: # of spaces of relief needed - # of new spaces to be provided = total spaces of relief needed

5 - 0 = relief needed*

The Applicant needs relief for 5 parking spaces.

If the applicant provides five parking spaces, no relief is needed.

^{*}Negative numbers and positive numbers less than 1 require no parking relief.

Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018

Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88 Site: 118-124 College Avenue

In the alternative, the applicant may seek to waive this parking through Article 9, Section 9.13a, or (because an affordable unit is included in the project) 9.13g.

C. How the Current Code Sees Parking on this site:

This is the far more tricky analysis. and at this point not all questions have been addressed. The applicant has been seeking the opportunity to increase the unit count on this site over the past 7+ years. Over that time, he has reviewed a number of strategies with the Planning Division staff. Below are the determinations that staff HAS been able to make to-date:

- The adjacent lots have not merged for zoning purposes: Each lot is described separately on a separate deed. Typically, this would not matter for zoning purposes when lots are undersized and one or more lots are vacant. In the case where lots are vacant, or only covered by an accessory structure, they would merge for zoning purposes. For example, an undersized lot with a house that is adjacent to an undersized lot with a garage are merged for zoning purposes. In this case, since the lots have been held together, and since the city adopted minimum lot sizes, these lots have each been "improved" with a structure upon them. The structures at 118 and 124 College Ave were joined into one structure, and they therefore now have one zoning lot. But each of the other lots adjacent and owned in common are separate lots for zoning.
- Merging the lots (if they were to be merged through a lot merger request approved by Planning Board) does not accomplish anything: At one time, the applicant considered merging the lots to total the FAR and lot area per dwelling unit across all of the lots thereby using that extra capacity for the purpose of adding new units at 118-124 College Ave. But, as some of the lots on Kenwood are zoned RA (with a lot area per dwelling unit of 2250) and others zoned RB (with a la/du of 1500), the blended totals do not create significant new development capacity. Instead, with separate lots, there is sufficient FAR and lot area for adding three residential units.
- The lot at 118-124 College Ave has four fully legal parking spaces, as well as one tandem space that does not meet current code, and a portion of an additional space that straddles the lot line with 16 Kenwood.
- There is a court order on parking on this site, but it does not influence zoning: The applicant has provided documents from a lawsuit between a tenant and owner of the building, that requires the owner to provide certain parking spaces across the adjacent lots to the commercial activity at 118-124 College Ave. The city is not a party to this document, and therefore while it may impact the way that the parking on the site works in practicality, it does not impact the way that the city applies zoning on these sites
- Parking on an adjacent lot requires a special permit. But, in this case there is parking across adjacent lots, and it is a pre-existing non-conforming situation: Currently vehicles using the commercial and/or residential uses at 118-124 College Ave are not restricted to using parking at 118-124 College Ave, but use parking behind 16 Kenwood as well. According to the applicant, this has been the case for decades, and the information that we have found to-date does not contradict that position. Based on this, the staff believes this to be a pre-existing, legal, non-conforming condition that can continue to operate this way.

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

• If the applicant were to ADD five parking spaces, this would bring the project into compliance with parking: but there is not likely enough area to add new spaces here

- Section 4.4.3 of the SZO says that non-conformity with respect to parking requirements is addressed per Section 9.4
- Section 9.4 requires new additions to meet parking requirements for the new area being added. This leads to the need for 5 additional parking spaces.
- The entire driveway area in and around the subject parcel and adjacent parcels is non-conforming, not necessarily with the number of parking spaces, but with the dimensions, access, and layout requirements of 9.9, 9,10 and 9.11 of the ordinance. The applicant submitted a plan showing 34 existing parking spaces of which at least 9 have non-conforming dimensions (either because they are tandem spaces or undersized parking spaces).
- Section 9.4 does not address existing non-conforming parking dimensions, but Section.9.13 permits all of these circumstances by special permit. The current parking space non-conformities were established when permitted but now require special permit. When a special permit is now required for a setup that previously was allowed by right, that situation is deemed to be non-conforming. Therefore, a special permit under Article 9, section 9.13 is required.
- With no change to the development on the Kenwood lots, they do not need to secure any permission to maintain the non-conforming dimensions on those lots. Nor do these lots need permission to be used as off-site parking, as long as this situation pre-dates the zoning requirement to secure a special permit for off-site parking (and it likely does).
- The applicant also may use Section 9.13 to ask the ZBA to reduce the parking requirement and not require these five spaces.
- Even if granted this special permit, the applicant will still need to address a practical strategy for parking vehicles for the three units, as well as today's users of the lots. But, if the special permit to waive the requirement for these spaces is granted, the applicant need not further address the parking need from the perspective of the zoning ordinance.
- With the addition of the units and parking spaces, the project will require a special permit
 to maintain the existing non-conformities in the parking spaces behind 118-124 College
 Ave. This permit has been included in this legal notice, application and staff
 recommendation.
- With the use of parking spaces at 16 Kenwood to meet the additional parking requirements at 118-124 College Ave, the applicant may need a special permit to provide off-site parking for the new units on the adjacent lot (although these spaces have been used for off-site purposes prior to the current need for a special permit for this activity). This permit has been included in this legal notice, application and staff recommendation.
- The applicant must establish that the parking spaces being assigned for zoning purposes to 118-124 College Ave under this application are NOT required parking spaces for

zoning purposes to maintain any level of conformity at 16 Kenwood or for any other use on any other adjacent property. For this reason, the staff has requested to review the parking requirements for these additional properties. This information has not yet been provided

 Staff will continue analysis of this situation and provide an update to the ZBA when it is available.

Over the past few months, staff has received a series of additional questions from the public about the existing driveway condition, including the provisions for allowing shared parking, the location and accessibility of parking spaces, the provisions for permitting shared parking and the applicant's use of parking spaces on other adjacent lots. If the Board sees fit to grant the special permit under 9.13a and/or 9.13.g, none of these items remain before the Board, and therefore they do not have to be addressed as a part of this application.

c. What to do about the other buildings on the block:

Throughout this process, there has generally been agreement that the buildings on the adjacent Kenwood lots are in need of an upgrade. When the applicant had originally presented the idea of shared parking, the staff added conditions to the preliminary staff report, and focused upon the idea that the applicant should be required to do upgrades to the buildings and site in exchange for the ZBA approval of these units. With the request for parking relief, the link to the other buildings is not before the ZBA.

The applicant has met with the Planning Staff and pledged to provide these types of upgrades nonetheless (although, to be clear, he has not pledged to meet the specific targets that were established in the original conditions). Staff believes that it would be beyond the standards of zoning to require the applicant to meet these targets if the proposed project does not incorporate the adjacent lots. But, the staff has added a condition to acknowledge what the applicant has volunteered to do, so that progress on site planning, landscaping, siding, etc., can be completed on these buildings.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in the relevant sections of the SZO. This section of the report goes through those sections in detail.

1. Information Supplied:

Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicants conforms to the requirements of §7.3, of the SZO and allows for analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

Compliance with Standards: _The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit." <u>Under SZO §4.4.1</u> Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension,

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

Several components of this proposal trigger the need for zoning relief under Section 4.4.1 of the SZO including increasing the GFA and FAR by more than 25% and the upward extension of a non-conforming rear yard setback.

Further triggering the need for zoning relief is the proposed upward extension of the rear yard setback. At its shortest point, the rear yard setback measures at 1.8 feet from the rear property line. The Applicant proposes an upward extension of this non-conforming rear yard setback by constructing a two-story addition to this portion of the building. It is unclear from the plan set provided to what height this upward extension will reach. While the Applicant owns abutting properties along Kenwood Street, the rear abutting property that would be most impacted visually by the proposal is owned by another entity.

Under SZO §7.3

Section 7.3 states that In Residence A and Residence B districts, where developments include a minimum of twelve and a half percent (12.5%) affordable housing units on-site, but in no case less than one (1) affordable unit, as defined by Section 2.2.4, the above standards may be waived by the SPGA through application for special permit with site plan review.

Staff finds that the Applicant meets the requirement of providing a minimum of one affordable unit as required by Section 7.3 of the SZO when proposing an increase in the number of units on a property beyond that which is typically allowed by zoning.

Under Article 9 of the SZO

The proposal is to provide no additional parking and seek a waiver under 9.13. parking on a lot separate from the project parcel and to access parking spaces from a shared driveway both trigger the need for special permit relief. Staff finds that the Applicant has provided sufficient documentation for staff to be able to assess whether relief should be recommended.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal, as conditioned, is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promoting the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; and to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City.

4. <u>Purpose of District:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with the intent of the specific zoning district as specified in Article 6".

Page 11 of 21

Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018

Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88 Site: 118-124 College Avenue

Staff finds that the proposed project, as-conditioned, is consistent with the intent of the RB zoning district.

5. Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will add one unit to the existing stock of the City's affordable housing units.

3. Site and Area Compatibility: The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the existing natural features of the site and is compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding area, and that the scale, massing and detailing of the buildings are compatible with those prevalent in the surrounding area".

Surrounding Neighborhood:

The principal change that will be visible from College Avenue will be the infill of the space between the right and left wings of 118-124 College Avenue. Because the proposal includes two levels of front decks connecting the two wings on the second and third floors, the massing of the new construction in this area of the building will be set back from the front plane of the building by several feet.

Buildings abut 118-124 College Avenue on both the right and left elevations which will help shield a portion of the increased mass on the right and left elevations from the public way on College Avenue. The largest impact of the proposed project will be visible from properties abutting the rear of the 118-124 parcel.

The applicant will add some traffic to the rear parking areas around this site and his adjacent owned properties. Staff recommends strategies for organizing the use of this parking area. As conditioned, the project will be compatible with the surrounding area, and upgrade the structures on the block.

Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility): (non CCD & TOD districts) Special Permits with Design Review and Special Permit with Site Plan Review applications must meet the design guidelines under SZO §5.1.5/5.2.4. The design guidelines for business districts are as follows:

1. Maintain a strong building presence along the primary street edge, continuing the established streetwall across the front of the site so as to retain the streetscape continuity; however, yards and setbacks as required by Article 8 shall be maintained.

Staff finds that the proposed alterations impacting the front façade of the building, though they alter the streetwall at the center-most portion of the front façade, this does not diminish the streetscape continuity. The proposed project maintains the existing setbacks in that the setbacks are not moved closer to the property line.

2. Differentiate building entrances from the rest of the primary street elevation, preferably by recessing the entry from the plane of the streetwall or by some other articulation of the elevation at the entrance.

Page 12 of 21

Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88 Site: 118-124 College Avenue

The existing building entrances being maintained are clearly articulated due to the stylistic difference of the main commercial entrance from the entrance to the residential area of the building.

3. Make use of the typical bay widths, rhythms and dimensions prevalent in buildings adjacent to the site, especially in new construction or substantial redevelopment.

The main façade of the existing structure will maintain its current dimensions and features. The inset addition connecting the two buildings on the second and third floors present a bay articulation that is not out-of-rhythm with that of the existing right or left wing of the property. Currently and going forward, the right and left wing of the property read as two individual dwelling houses of different styles (gable-fronted, late Victorian Queen Anne on the left, and American four-square on the right). The addition proposed between these two wings will read as clearly separate from the two originally-separate dwelling houses.

4. Clearly define these bay widths, rhythms and dimensions, making them understandable through material patterns, articulations and modulations of the facades, mullion design and treatment, etc.

N/A

5. Provide roof types and slopes similar to those of existing buildings in the area.

The proposed roof of the second and third storey rear upward extensions replicate the flat roof of the existing single-story addition currently at the rear of the building. There are a few other flat-roofed buildings in the immediate area, but those structures are larger and appear to be commercial or apartment building in nature.

6. Use materials and colors consistent with those dominant in the area or, in the case of a rehabilitation or addition, consistent with the architectural style and period of the existing building. Use of brick masonry is encouraged, but not considered mandatory.

The project is conditioned such that all materials to be used on the exterior of the project must be reviewed and approved by staff prior to their installation.

7. When parking lots are provided between buildings, abutting the primary street and breaking the streetwall, provide a strong design element to continue the streetwall definition across the site, such as a low brick wall, iron works or railing, trees, etc.

The proposed parking area is pre-existing and will not be shielded any more or less than current conditions present. But, the proposed project provides the opportunity to increase green areas between and behind the buildings that share parking along College and Kenwood. The current existing lots are overwhelmed by surface parking through linked driveways that connect through the backyards of these buildings.

8. Locate transformers, heating and cooling systems, antennae, and the like, so they are not visible from the street; this may be accomplished, for example, by placing them behind the building, within enclosures, behind screening, etc.

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

Transformers and the like have not been identified on the proposed plans. Staff has conditioned the proposal such that all such mechanicals must be screened with screening material reviewed and approved by Planning Staff prior to installation.

9. Sites and buildings should comply with any guidelines set forth in Article 6 of this Ordinance for the specific base or overlay zoning district(s) the site is located within.

Not applicable.

Article 9 of the SZO:

Two sections One section of Article 9 of the SZO are is triggered by this proposal and that is 9.13 which states: This triggers Section 9.13.d of the SZO which states:

In section a:

Where the total number of parking spaces required by this Ordinance is six (6) or fewer, the requirements for lots or sites that are nonconforming with respect to parking as specified in Section 9.4 may be modified.

In section g:

Projects incorporating inclusionary housing per <u>Article 13</u> may reduce the total number of parking spaces. An applicant shall submit documentary evidence that parking is adequate to serve the development.

Staff finds that the situation is reasonable for the Board to grant one of these waivers, whereby:

- The abutting properties are already able to absorb parking for 118-124 College Avenue without over-parking those sites
- The data establishes that the existing units on this site do not have extensive impacts on street parking
- The project is not likely to generate any more street parking than is typically generated by modest increases in density
- The site is well-suited to car-free living, due to its proximity to public transit and the community path

Therefore, the proposed project meets the finding that the parking situation will not cause a detriment to the surrounding neighborhood.

Parking on a Separate Lot. In any residence district, up to twenty (20) parking spaces may be located on a lot separate from the lot where the principal use is located, provided that said parking serves as an accessory use only and the lot developed with accessory parking is contiguous to and under the same ownership as the lot containing the primary use. All vehicular access to such accessory parking (e.g. the driveways and curb cuts to the street system) shall be made only via the lot where the principal permitted use is located. Parking lots shall be landscaped and screened from view of residential properties.

As regards Section 9.13.d, the Applicant proposes using spaces located at the rear of the 16 Kenwood Street lot. This property is also owned by the same applicant. All of the parking on this parcel is located at the rear of the parcel. These parking spaces are accessible via a pre-existing driveway. The lots of 118-124 College along with 18 and 16 Kenwood are all connected at the

Page 14 of 21

Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88

Site: 118-124 College Avenue

rear of the properties. Allowing a driveway on one lot to lead to a parking space (or loading bay) on another lot requires a special permit under Section 9.13.c of the SZO.

Section 9.13.c of the SZO reads as follows:

Shared Driveways/Access Easements. To allow a driveway on one lot to lead to a parking space or loading bay on another lot; or to allow a driveway to straddle the lot line and serve a parking space or a loading bay on two (2) or more lots; or to allow two or more uses to share a common driveway, in each case provided a binding agreement, satisfactory in form to the SPGA and the City Solicitor, is executed and is filed in the Registry of Deeds of Middlesex County.

Staff finds that allowing for the driveway at 118-124 College Avenue to continue to function as the means of accessing parking for this address on another lot (16 Kenwood) would not be injurious to the parcels in question nor to the surrounding properties, as long as the overall system of vehicle circulation in and around these projects is upgraded to improve the quality of life in the neighborhood. This can be accomplished by improvements to landscape and driveway materials.

6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods, transform key opportunity areas, preserve and expand an integrated, balanced mix of safe, affordable and environmentally sound rental and homeownership units for households of all sizes and types from diverse social and economic groups; and, make Somerville a regional employment center with a mix of diverse and high-quality jobs.

Staff finds that the proposed project complies with the purposes of SomerVision in that it will help meet regional demand for transit-centered housing and will add one additional dwelling unit to the City's affordable housing stock. To meet SomerVision goals for neighborhood character and the conservation of residential neighborhoods, the project offers the opportunity to improve the site circulation and landscaping around the shared driveway area that encompasses this project and its adjacent parking.

Date: December 13, 2017 January 31, 2018

Case #: ZBA 2017 - 88 Site: 118-124 College Avenue

III. RECOMMENDATION

A note about this project:

The Planning Division staff sees significant benefit to this project. It adds housing units, which are necessary for the City to continue to help meet regional housing needs, and it adds an affordable unit on this site.

The project also offers the opportunity to improve the site circulation and landscaping around the shared driveway area that encompasses this project and its adjacent parking. While the modified parking waiver removes the link between the properties, the applicant's offer continues to allow for further involvement in improving this site condition. The links between these properties make it reasonable to request, through conditions, that these shared driveway/landscape areas are addressed, formalized and organized. This is a substantial benefit in exchange for a limited growth in the number of on-site units, especially because the units are located in a most unobtrusive location. In this location, they add no ground cover, they have virtually no shadow impact on adjacent properties and the study of current parking suggests that the actual parking impact of these three new units is minimal.

But, any addition of units on this site requires addressing a long-term issue with the current parking system as it is set up. It appears to pre-date both the zoning ordinance and the current ownership that this area had paved areas across the backyards of the development site and the adjacent houses. This would make the situation a pre-existing lawfully non-conforming set of site and parking dimensions. Article 4 sends non-conforming parking situations into Article 9 for review.

Therefore, the staff is willing to recommend conditional approval of this application, after this parking issue is sorted out.

Special Permit under §4.4, §7.3, Article 9 of the SZO

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMITS**

, upon establishing that the parking situation behind this building and the lots that share parking is conforming with zoning.

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	dwelling units on the prop		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	August 6, 2017	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
1	September 9, 2017	Updated plans submitted to OSPCD			
	November 1, 2017	Parking and landscaping proposals received by OSPCD			
	Any changes to the apprent that are not de minimis is approval. Whether or no nature must be determined to the that ALL areas industrial unfinished must remain be used for storage or utility.				
Pre	-Construction & Constru		l		
2	The Applicant shall, at his existing equipment (inclusing sign poles, signs, traffic sign equipment, wheel chair raand the entire sidewalk in	s expense, replace any ding, but not limited to street ignal poles, traffic signal umps, granite curbing, etc) namediately abutting the ed as a result of construction	СО	DPW	
	constructed to DPW stand	<u> </u>			
3	The Affordable Housing I must be approved by the	Implementation Plan (AHIP) OSPCD Housing Division ance of Building Permit. The	BP	ISD/Housi ng/Plng	

4	Written certification of the creation of affordable housing units, any fractional payment required, or alternative methods of compliance, must be obtained from the OSPCD Housing Division before the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (C.O.). No C.O. shall be issued until the OSPCD Housing Division has confirmed that the Affordable Housing Restriction has been approved and recorded and the developer has provided the promised affordable units on-site.	СО	Housing/IS D/Plng	
5	No Certificate of Occupancy shall be issued until the OSPCD Housing Division has confirmed that: (for Condominium Projects) the Condominium Documents have been approved and the Developer has agreed to a form of Deed Rider for the Affordable Unit(s), or (for Rental Projects) the Developer has agreed to and executed a Memorandum of Understanding for Monitoring of the Affordable Unit(s).	СО	Housing/IS D/Plng	
6	The Applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor, owner, and all subcontractors at the site entrance where it is visible to people passing by.	During Constructio n	Plng./ISD	
7	Approval is subject to the Applicant's and/or successor's right, title and interest in the property.	Cont.	Plng./ISD	Deed submitt ed & applicat ion form
				signed
8	All construction materials and equipment shall be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	During Constructio n	T&P	signed
8	stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the	Constructio	T&P	signed

		Т _	
	The Applicant shall, throughout the construction	Pre-	ISD/Plng
	process, ensure that the project site remains as follows:	Constructio	
		n & during	
	- <u>ALL</u> construction-related debris shall be placed in	constructio	
	dumpsters or similar at the end of EACH day;	n process	
	- Items removed from the existing building as part		
	of the project process shall be disposed of in		
	dumpsters at the end of each day and/or placed		
	in storage off the premises. These items may		
	include, but are not limited to: mechanicals, pipes,		
11	vents, household appliances, toilets, bathtubs,		
	refrigerators, etc.		
	- <u>Under no circumstances</u> shall debris from		
	demolition, construction waste, or the like fall		
	upon, be placed upon or otherwise encroach on		
	abutting properties or the public way.		
	- ALL hazardous material including, but not		
	limited to, asbestos (siding, pipe wrap, insulation,		
	etc.), oil tanks, etc., shall be removed by a		
	licensed, insured, and qualified specialist.		
	ncensed, insured, and quantied specialist.		
	During the construction process, all snow shall be	During	ISD
	removed from the driveways, parking spaces,	Constructio	
12	walkways and abutting sideways. All such snow shall	n	
12	be removed from the site and not piled on the parcel in	11	
	any manner.		
	Deliveries to the construction site shall only occur	During	Traffic &
	during 9am and 3pm Monday through Friday. No	constructio	Parking/IS
13	deliveries to the construction site shall occur on	n	D D
	weekends or holidays.	11	
	Construction and construction-related personnel for	During	ISD
	this or any other of the applicant's projects shall NOT	constructio	
	be at this job site before 7:30 am. Construction-related	n /	
	vehicles shall not be left to idle on or near the property	continuous	
14	and site personnel shall be mindful of surrounding	after	
	property owners by not playing music, engaging in	constructio	
	loud conversations, and the like before, during, or after	n is	
	the work day.	complete	
	The Applicant shall contact the Engineering	During	ISD/Engin
	Department to coordinate the timeline for cutting or	constructio	eering eering
			cering
15	opening the street and/or sidewalk for any utility connections or other construction. There is a	n	
15			
	moratorium on opening streets from November 1 st to		
	April 1 st and there is a list of streets that have		
Des	additional opening restrictions.	<u> </u>	
Des	ign		

16	The Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding, trim, windows, and doors to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to construction. There shall be no vinyl used on this property for siding, trim, doors or the like. Windows shall be aluminum clad. Wood or cementitious material shall be used for trim, siding, decking and the like. Windows shall have muntins (grids) applied to the glass. No between-the-glass grids shall be allowed. Windows shall not be tinted nor shall they convey any reflective or mirrored quality. All residential windows on the property shall be designed to match.	BP	Plng/Zoni ng Review Planner	
17	Upon completion of this project, the applicant has indicated an intent to re side and/or repaint each of the individual properties that share cross-easements with this property, along Kenwood Road. The applicant shall undertake this work, so that it is completed within 12 months of the completion of the addition to the structure on College Avenue. The applicant shall review material and paint samples with Planning Division staff prior to construction. There shall be no vinyl used on these properties for siding, trim, windows or doors (existing vinyl windows may remain). The applicant shall work with staff to engage the neighbors in a conversation about building materials and colors prior to any improvements to these properties.			
Site	* *	•	•	
18	The applicant shall provide an updated landscape plan which shall be prepared and stamped by a registered landscape architect. The applicant shall remove a minimum of 40% of the asphalt on the College Ave site, and replace a minimum of 25% of the parking spaces on the College Ave site with spaces that permit grass to grow through paver blocks. All parking spaces shall be clearly marked and labeled for their intended use. The landscape plan shall be submitted to the Planning Division staff and require Planning Division review and approval.	Plan required prior to BP implementa tion is perpetual		

The applicant shall also improve the parking areas Plan	
being used for this site and for circulation connected to required	
this site on the Kenwood Road lots. The applicant prior to BP	
shall provide an updated landscape plan for these lots /	
as well, which shall be prepared and stamped by a implementa	
registered landscape architect. The applicant shall tion is	
remove a minimum of 40% of the asphalt on the perpetual	
Kenwood Road lots, and replace this asphalt with	
pervious pavers or with pavement that permits grass to	
grow between blocks. All parking spaces shall be	
clearly marked and labeled for their intended use.	
The landscape plan shall be submitted to the Planning Division stoff and a goving Planning Division position	
Division staff and require Planning Division review	
and approval.	
Per the letter submitted by the applicant to the	
Planning Division staff, the applicant has pledged to	
side or paint the houses at Kenwood Street, improve	
the landscaping and consider permeable pavers in the	
driveways that enter on Kenwood Street, in an effort to	
improve the aesthetics of the properties and the	
streetscape. While these improvements likely will not	
require special permits, the proposals will be	
submitted to Planning Division staff for review and	
comment, per the applicant's offer.	
The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be Perpetual ISD	
responsible for maintenance of both the building and	
all on site amenities, including landscaping, fencing	
lighting, parking areas and storm water systems,	
ensuring they are clean, well-kept and in good and safe	
working order.	
Screening Plng/ISD	
Garbage and recycling containers shall be stored out-	
of-view of the public way. All such containers and BP	
container areas shall be screened with either evergreen	
plantings (no arborvitae) or wood screening. Locations Installation	
for containers shall be indicated on the final plan set of	
-	
submitted to ISD for building permits. Screening container	
material shall be reviewed and approved by Planning site and	
Staff prior to installation. screening:	
CO	
All materials used for any hardscaping (walkways, CO Plng/ISD	
All materials used for any hardscaping (walkways, parking areas, landscaping walls, and similar) shall	
All materials used for any hardscaping (walkways, parking areas, landscaping walls, and similar) shall first be presented to Planning Staff for their review	
All materials used for any hardscaping (walkways, parking areas, landscaping walls, and similar) shall	

	Gas and electric meters shall not be located on the front facades of the structure Gas and electric meters may be located on the side of the structure but shall be screened from the street by a hardy, staff-approved evergreen planting.	СО	Plng/ISD
21	Utilities shall not be located adjacent to windows and shall not impact any parking, landscaping, or egress. The provisions of this condition may be waived by Staff if the applicant submits a letter from the utility, signed by a utility representative, on utility letterhead, indicating that there is no feasible alternative to placing meters in violation of this condition.		
22	Electrical conduits on the exterior of buildings shall be painted to match the color of the wall material to which they are attached. Conduits are not allowed on the front of any structure.	СО	Plng/ISD
23	Exhaust/venting pipes protruding from the roof shall be wrapped or painted to match the color of the shingling.	СО	Plng/ISD
24	There shall be no vents or exterior pipes located on the front façade of the building. All vents and exterior pipes shall be painted or wrapped to match the color of the structure from which they protrude.	СО	Plng/ISD
Pul	olic Safety	l	1
25	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.	СО	FP
26	All lighting shall be downcast and shall not spill onto the public way or onto neighboring properties in any fashion.	СО	ISD
27	Per City of Somerville fire prevention regulations, grills, barbeques, chimineas or similar shall not be used or stored on porches or decks. The Applicant shall write such specifications into any condo docs and/or rental agreements. The Applicant shall provide the City proof of such inclusion prior to the receipt of a Certificate of Occupancy.	СО	Plng/ISD
Fin	al Sign-Off	1	
28	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.